US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

## DATA EVALUATION RECORD

Metolachlor. 1. CHEMICAL:

Shaughnessey No. 108801.

- 2. TEST MATERIAL: CGA-154281; I.D. No. FL851341; 99.3% purity.
- **STUDY TYPE:** 72-4. Freshwater Invertebrate Flow-Through 3. Chronic Toxicity Test. Species Tested: Daphnia magna.
- CITATION: Hamaker, T.L. 1986. Flow-Through Daphnia magna Chronic Toxicity Test With CGA-154281. Study No. D801. Prepared by Environmental Research and Technology, Inc., Fort Collins, CO. Submitted by Agricultural Division, Ciba-Geigy Corporation, Greensboro, NC. EPA MRID No. 400288-29.
- 5. REVIEWED BY:

Conchi Rodriguez

Bignature: Consh. Roduzus

Biologist

Ecological Effects Branch

6/19/93 Date:

Environmental Fate and Effects Division

APPROVED BY: 6.

Harry T. Craven

Signature:

Supervisor

Ecological Effects Branch

Environmental Fate and Effects Division

**CONCLUSIONS:** This study does not satisfy the guideline 7. requirements for freshwater invertebrate life cycle study. A proportional diluter was used to prepare the test solutions but the actual test concentrations were not verified analytically.

- RECOMMENDATIONS: N/A. 8.
- 9. **BACKGROUND:**
- DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS: N/A. 10.

Hemy 6/19/93

# 11. MATERIALS AND METHODS:

- A. <u>Test Animals</u>: Daphnia magna (<24 hours old) were obtained from in-house cultures. The cultures were maintained under static conditions (20°C) and fed algae and trout chow. Adult daphnids were isolated into test dilution water prior to test initiation. Neonates used in testing were obtained from these adults.
- B. <u>Test System</u>: A closed-cell pressure operated intermittent-flow proportional diluter was used. The test solutions were allowed to flow through the test system for 24 hours prior to test initiation. Flow into the test chambers resulted in greater than five volume replacements. A flow splitting box was used to distribute the test solutions to the four replicate test chambers per concentration.

The test chambers were 1-1 glass beakers with  $297-\mu m$  screen collars. As fresh solution entered the beakers, the test solution overflowed and was collected in the surrounding aquaria for disposal.

The dilution water was reconstituted water prepared from dechlorinated tap water. The water was adjusted to a hardness of 100 mg/l as CaCO<sub>3</sub> with the addition of inorganic salts and aerated before use in the test.

The test stock solution was prepared by dissolving the test material in methanol.

- C. <u>Dosage</u>: Twenty-one-day flow-through test. Based on the 48-hour EC<sub>50</sub> (3.5 mg/l, nominal) from a definitive static acute toxicity test, five nominal concentrations (0.088, 0.177, 0.354, 0.707, and 1.414 mg/l), a solvent control, and a dilution water control were used. The solvent control and highest test concentration solutions had a methanol concentration of 100 mg/l.
- D. <u>Design</u>: Ten daphnids were randomly distributed to each replicate. The daphnids were fed Selenastrum capricornutum through a food reservoir.

On days 0, 3, 6, and 8, live and dead daphnids were recorded and dead organisms were removed. Beginning on day 10 and continuing approximately every other day until the end of the test, live and dead adult daphnids were counted and neonates were counted and removed. Chambers were cleaned with a stiff brush and laboratory

#### DATA EVALUATION RECORD

CHEMICAL: Metalochlor. 1.

Shaughnessey No. 108801.

- 2. CGA-154281; I.D. No. FL851341; 99.3% purity. TEST MATERIAL:
- STUDY TYPE: 72-4. Freshwater Invertebrate Flow-Through 3. Chronic Toxicity Test. Species Tested: Daphnia magna.
- CITATION: Hamaker, T.L. 1986. Flow-Through Daphnia magna Chronic Toxicity Test With CGA-154281. Study No. D801. Prepared by Environmental Research and Technology, Inc., Fort Collins, CO. Submitted by Agricultural Division, Ciba-Geigy Corporation, Greensboro, NC. EPA MRID No. 400288-29.
- 5. REVIEWED BY:

Louis M. Rifici, M.S. Associate Scientist KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc.

signature: Jouis m Right

6. APPROVED BY:

> Pim Kosalwat, Ph.D. Senior Scientist KBN Engineering and Applied Sciences, Inc.

Henry T. Craven, M.S. Supervisor, EEB/EFED USEPA

signature: P. Kosalwat

Date: 3/12/93

Signature: Henry T. Crever 6/18/93

Date: Comba Roderper 6/28/93

: scientifically

- 7. CONCLUSIONS: This test is not scientifically sound. A proportional diluter was used to prepare the test solutions but the actual test concentrations were not verified analytically. Daphnid growth (length) was significantly reduced at 0.707 and 1.414 mg/l nominal concentration. Therefore, the MATC was >0.354 mg/l and <0.707 mg/l nominal concentration. The geometric mean MATC was 0.50 mg/l nominal concentration.
- 8. RECOMMENDATIONS: N/A.
- **BACKGROUND:**
- DISCUSSION OF INDIVIDUAL TESTS:

detergent once per week. At test termination, surviving adults from each chamber were measured to the nearest 0.1 mm.

Test solution temperature was recorded daily. The dissolved oxygen concentration (DO) was measured twice weekly. Hardness, alkalinity, conductivity, and pH were measured weekly.

- E. The survival of dilution water control and Statistics: solvent control daphnids was compared using a 2X2 contingency table and Chi-square analysis. the exposures was compared to the pooled control results using a contingency table and Scheffe's multiple comparison test. Daphnid length was subjected to the  $F_{\text{max}}$  test to determine homogeneity of variance within each treatment level and control group. Significant differences between the length of the pooled control and the treatment daphnids were determined using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Dunnett's test. Reproduction in the dilution water control and solvent control was compared using one-way The number of young produced per adult per reproductive day in the exposures was compared to the solvent control using ANOVA. The level of significance in all tests was  $p \le 0.05$ .
- 12. REPORTED RESULTS: On day 6, replicate A of the 0.707 mg/l treatment was accidentally discarded. At test termination, survival of daphnids exposed to 1.414 mg/l was significantly reduced compared to survival of daphnids in the pooled controls (Table 3-2, attached). Survival in the remaining treatments was ≥76.7% and statistically comparable to the pooled control.

The release of the first brood occurred on day 10 and day 13 for the solvent control and dilution water control groups, respectively. For the treatment groups, the release of the first brood occurred on days 11 through 13. The average number of young produced in the dilution water control and solvent control was 42.6 and 35.8 young, respectively. "Methanol may have depressed the reproductive rate somewhat during this test." Reproduction in the treatment groups was not significantly different from solvent control reproduction (Table 3-3, attached).

Mean length for the pooled control and exposure groups was listed in Table C-3 (attached). Compared to the pooled

controls, daphnid length was significantly reduced in the two highest test concentrations after 21 days.

During the test, the DO was 5.1-6.8 mg/l. The pH values were 7.8-7.9 and the conductivity was 319-320 " $\mu$ mhos/cm²." The temperature was 19.0-20.8°C. Hardness and alkalinity were 100-102 and 74.5-77.0 mg/l as CaCO<sub>3</sub>, respectively.

13. STUDY AUTHOR'S CONCLUSIONS/QUALITY ASSURANCE MEASURES:
The no-observed-effect concentration (NOEC) and lowestobserved-effect concentration (LOEC) were 0.354 and 0.707
mg/l nominal concentrations, respectively. The geometric
mean maximum acceptable toxicant concentration (MATC) was
0.50 mg/l nominal concentration.

The laboratories Quality Assurance officer stated that "The flow-through Daphnia magna chronic toxicity test with CGA 154281 was conducted in accordance with Good Laboratory Practice Guidelines."

# 14. REVIEWER'S DISCUSSION AND INTERPRETATION OF STUDY RESULTS:

A. <u>Test Procedure</u>: This study is not scientifically sound. Significant deviations from the guidelines are as follows:

A proportional diluter was used to prepare the test solutions but the actual test concentrations were not verified analytically.

Forty percent mortality occurred in the solvent control replicate B. More than 30% mortality in the control is considered unacceptable.

The dilution water was reconstituted water prepared from dechlorinated water. Residual chlorine in the dilution water should have been measured and reported.

No transitional period between light and dark photoperiod was used in the test.

A description of the test material (i.e., physical state, color) was not included in the report.

B. <u>Statistical Analysis</u>: Daphnid survival data (arcsine square root transformed), the number of young produced per adult per reproductive day, and daphnid length were analyzed using one-way ANOVA and Bonferroni's test (Toxstat Version 3.3). Survival at 1.414 mg/l was

significantly lower than dilution water control survival (printout 1). Dilution water control reproduction and solvent control reproduction were significantly different. Reproduction at 1.414 mg/l was significantly lower than dilution water control reproduction (printout 2). The raw length data were submitted but illegible, therefore only mean length could be statistically analyzed. The results were the same as the author's (printout 3).

Discussion/Results: The solvent control and highest c. test concentration contained the highest concentration of methanol used in the test (100 mg methanol/1). Using a density of 0.79 g/ml for methanol (Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 55th edition), the maximum solvent concentration was 0.13 ml/l. Visual inspection of the means for daphnid survival and reproduction revealed that responses in the four lowest test concentrations were more like those of the dilution water control, than the solvent control. Since these four lowest concentrations contained much less solvent than that in the solvent control, the reviewer used the responses of the dilution water control daphnids for statistical comparisons. It appears that the concentration of the solvent may have negatively affected the reproduction and survival of the daphnids since these parameters in the solvent control and highest test concentration were always lower than the dilution water control. It is possible that only the length data show any significant effect based on toxicant exposure.

The reports states that, during the test, the test containers were cleaned with a stiff brush with laboratory detergent and rinsed. It is unclear whether the rinsing was adequate to remove detergent residues from the containers.

This test is not scientifically sound. A proportional diluter was used to prepare the test solutions but the actual test concentrations were not verified analytically. Daphnid growth (length) was significantly reduced at 0.707 and 1.414 mg/l nominal concentration. Therefore, the MATC was >0.354 mg/l and <0.707 mg/l nominal concentration. The geometric mean MATC was 0.50 mg/l nominal concentration.

## D. Adequacy of the Study:

(1) Classification: Invalid.

- (2) Rationale: A proportional diluter was used to prepare the test solutions but the actual test concentrations were not verified analytically.
- (3) Repairability: No.
- 15. COMPLETION OF ONE-LINER FOR STUDY: Yes, 03-04-93.

PG0016 OF0107

SUMMARY OF SURVIVAL OF DAPHNIDS AFTER EXPOSURE TO CAR-154281 AT DAYS 6, 13, AND 21

TABLE 3-2

10(100) 10(100	### Beginning 6 132 21    10	Control (0.0)						
10	10	Control (0.0)	1) The state of th	Beginning	9	132	21	Day 21
C 10 10(100) 1	C. 10 10(100) 90(90) 8(80) C. 10 10(100) 10(100) 9(90) C. 10 10 10(100) 10(100) 9(90) C. 10 10 10(100) 10(100) 9(90) C. 10 10 10(100) 10(100) 9(90) C. 110 10(100) 10(100) 9(90) C. 110 10(100) 9(90) 9(90) C. 110 10(100) 10(100) 8(80) C. 110 10(100) 10(100) 8(80) C. 110 10(100) 10(100) 8(80) C. 110 10(100) 9(90) 9(90) C. 110 10(100) 9(100) 9(90) C. 110 10(100) 9(100) 9(90) C. 110 10(100) 9(100) 9(100) C. 110 10(100) 9(100) C. 110 10(100) 9(100) 9(100) C. 110 10(100) 9(100)	(0.0)			(001)01	(001)01	(001.)01	0 06
C. 10 10(100)	C 10 10 10(100) 10(100) 9(90) 10(100) 10(100) 9(90) 10(100) 10(100) 9(90			01	10(100)	(06)06	8(80)	
A Accidently Discarded  A Accidently Discarded  B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B B	A Accidently Discarded 10(100) 10(100) 9(9			10	10(100)	10(,00)	6(06)6	
No.   10   10(100)   10(	Mark			10	10(100)	10(100)	(06)6	
B	S	Carrier		10	10(100)	10(100)	80(80)	80.0
C 10 10 10(100) 9(90) 9(90) 10 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10	C 10 10 10(100) 9(90) 9(90) 9(90) 9(90) 10 10(100) 9(9	Control	· co	10	10(100)	10(100)	(09)9	
A 10 7(70) 5(50)  B 10 7(70) 5(50)  C 10 10 9(90) 9(90)  C 10 10 9(90) 9(90)  C 10 10 10(100) 10(100)  C 10 10 10(100) 9(100)  C 10 10 8(80) 8(80)	A Accidently Discarded  A Accidently Discarded  C 10 10 10(100) 5(50) 4(40)  C 110 7(70) 5(50) 4(40)  C 110 9(90) 9(90) 7(70)  C 110 10(100) 10(100) 8(80)  C 110 10(100) 10(100) 9(90)  C 110 10(100) 10(100) 9(90)  C 110 10(100) 9(90) 9(90)  C 110 8(80) 8(80) 8(80)  C 110 10(100) 10(100) 9(90)  C 110 8(80) 8(80) 8(80)  C 110 10(100) 9(90) 9(90)  C 110 8(80) 8(80) 8(80)  C 110 8(80) 8(80) 8(80)	(0.0)	ی ،	10	6(06)6	(06)6	(06)6	
A Accidently Discarded  A Accidently Discarded  C 10 10 10(100) 9(90)  C 10 10 10(100) 10(100)  C 10 10 10(100) 9(90)  C 10 10 10(100) 9(100)  C 10 10 10(100) 9(100)  C 10 10 10(100) 9(100)  C 10 10 10 10(100)  C 10 10 10(100) 9(100)  C 10 10 10(1	A Accidently Discarded  A Accidently Discarded  D 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)  C 10 10 10(100) 10(10		٥	10	10(100)	10(100)	(06)6	
10	A   Accidently Discarded   10(100)	717		ç	10171	(6/60)	4/40)	57 61
C 10 10 9(90) 9(90) 9(90) 9(90) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	C. 16 9(90) 9(90) 8(80) D. 10 9(90) 9(90) 7(70) C. 10 10 10(100) 10(100) C. 10 10 10(100) 9(90) C. 10	1.414	< a	9	(0/)/	5(50)	4(40)	
A Accidently Discarded  A Accidently Discarded  B 10 10(100) 10(100)  C 10 10 8(80) 8(80)  B 10 10(100) 10(100)  C 10 8(80) 8(80)  C 10 8(80)	A Accidently Discarded  10 10 10 10 10(100) 10(100)  11 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)  12 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)  13 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)  14 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)  15 10 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)  16 10 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)  17 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)  18 10 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)  19 10 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)  10		<b>1</b> 0 (		(0/)	(00)0	(00)0	
A Accidently Discarded  10 10 10(100) 9(90)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)	A Accidently Discarded  B 10 10 10(100) 9(90) 7(70)  C 10 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)  B 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)  C 10 10 10(100) 10(100) 8(80)  C 10 10 10(100) 10(100) 8(80)  C 10 10 10(100) 10(100) 9(90)  B 10 9(90) 9(100) 9(100)  C 10 10 8(80) 9(90)  C 10 10 9(100) 9(100) 9(100)  C 10 10 9(90) 9(90)  C 10 9(90) 9(90) 9(90)  C 10 9(90) 9(90) 9(90)		، د		(36)	(06)6	(00)0	
A Accidently Discarded  10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10 10(100)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10(100) 10(100)  10 10 10 10(100)  10 10 10(100)  10 10 10(100)  10 10(100) 10(100)	A Accidently Discarded		<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	9(90)	(06)6	(0/)/	
10	10	707	, A	cidently Discarded	•	<b>;•</b>	•	
C 10 10 10(100) 10(100	10		=		10/100)	(001)01	8(80)	76.7
A 10 10(100) 1	A 10 10(100) 1		٠ -		(00.)	(07)2	(07)2	
A 10 10(100) 1	A 10 10(100) 1		· c	2 5	8(80)	8(80)	8(80)	
A 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)	A 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100) 10(100)		•	}				
0	0	0.354	<	10	10(100)	10(100)	10(100)	89.8
C 10 10(100) 10(100) 0 10(100) 0 10(100) 0 10(100) 0 10(100) 0 10(100) 0 10(100) 0 10(100) 0 10(100) 0 10 0 10	C 10 10(100) 8(80) D 10 10(100) 9(90) 9(90) A 10 10(100) 10(100) 9(100) B 9 9(100) 9(100) 9(100) C 10 8(80) 8(80) 7(70)		=	6	0(0)	0(00)	0(00)	
0 10 9(90) 9(90) 9(90) 9(90) 9(90) 9(100) 10(100) 9(100) 9(100) 9(80) 9(80)	A 10 10(100) 10(100) 9(90) 9(90)    A 10 10(100) 10(100) 9(100)    B 9 9(100) 9(100) 9(100)    C 10 10 8(80) 7(70)    D 10 9(90) 9(90) 8(80)		U	10	10(100)	10(100)	8(80)	
A 10 10(100) 10(100) 10(100) 8(100) 9(100) (100)	A 10 10(100) 10(100) 9(90) B 9 9(100) 9(100) 9(100) C 10 8(80) 8(80) 7(70) D 10 9(90) 8(80)		0	10	(06)6	(06)6	(06)6	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	10 (100) 10	נני ס	•	•	1001701	/001/01	100/0	0 90
8(80)	(36)6 (36)6 (36)6 (36)6 (36)6	271.	< ≃	3 =	(001)6	(001)6	9(100)	6
	(96)6 (06)6		<b>.</b>	9	8(80)	8(80)	7(70)	
()6)6 (06)6	•		. 0	10	6(06)6	(96)6	8(80)	

TABLE 3-2 (CONTINUED)

			W.	
te onite y	Mean & Alive at	and and	87.3	
,	Days:	17	8(88) 8(80) 8(80) 8(80)	
	Number and % Alive at Days:	13	10(100) 9(100) 9(90) 10(100)	
	Number and	م	10(100) 9(100) 9(90) 10(100)	
	Number Alive	Beginning	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	
		(mg/1) Replicate		
	Legimon	Concentration (mg/l)	0.088	

'Significantly different of an about Scheffe's Test procedure).

13 were not recorded on day 13. The numbers are inferred from the number of \*Number of alive daphmids at a TABLE 3-3

SUMMARY OF REPRODUCTIVE SUCCESS OF DAPHNIA magna EXPOSED

TO CGA-154281 IN A 21-DAY CHRONIC TOXICITY

Nominal Concentration	(mg/l) = Réplicate	No. Young	No. of Reproductive Adults	No. of Adult Reproductive Days	No. of Young Per Adult Per Reproductive Day
Control		446	10	80	5.575
0.0	R ***	359	9 • 8	77	4.662
_	č	477	10 • 9	86	5.547
	Ď	425	10+9	86	4.942
Control		381	10+8	. 110	3.464
Carrier	В	354	10+6	82	4.317
0.0	Č .	353	9	108	3. 269
	0	346	10+9	114	3.035
1.414	<b>A</b> .	.143	5+4	<b>41</b>	3,488
	 B	176	5+4	46	3.826
	č	353	9 • 8	95	3.716
	D	334	9.7	80	4.175
0.707	<b>A</b> .	= =			•-
0.701	Ř	282	10 • 8	90	3.133
* 4	ř	355	7	63	5.635
¢	Ď	303	B	72	4.208
D. 354	<b>A</b>	483	10	00	5 267
U. 304	A 8	406	. 10 8	90 72	5. 367 5. 630
	o c		<del>-</del>	72	5.639
	C A	339 414	10 • 8 9	82 81	4.134 5.111
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			*	3.111

.

للسبب

Nominal Concentration (mg/l)	Replicate	No. Young	No. of Reproductive Adults	No. of Adult Reproduction Days	No. of Young Per Adult Per Reproductive Day
-				- 3	737 3
0.177		469	10.9	86	5.454
		375	6	81	4.630
		231	8+7	89	3.397
r u <b>e</b> r rege		413	8.6	75	5.507
880		369	10+9	95	3.884
		379	8+6	77	4.922
		466	, 6	81	5.753
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	•	=	10.8	80	5.138

TABLE C-3

ANOVA OF <u>DAPHNIA</u> ADULT BODY LENGTHS AT DAY 21

(COMBINED CONTROLS VERSUS TREATMENTS)

Nominal reatment (mg/l)	Reps	Mean		Std. Err.	
on-Combined	68	4.4460		0.0623	
0.088	34	4.2507		0.0476	
).177 A	8	4.2750		0.2093	
. 177 <b>3</b>	9	4. 2933		0.0852	
0.177 C	7	4. 2964		0.0814	
0.177 D	8	4.3688		0.0309	
0.354	35	4.3607		0.0372	
0.707	23	4.0859		0.0609	
1.414	24	4.0844		0.0532	
Source	DF	\$5	<b>Y</b> 5	F	Р
Total Mean	21 <b>6</b>	4030.22 3 <b>997</b> .71			
Total (Ad Between Error	j) 215 8 8		. 1848 ). 1183	3.501	0. 001
Error Vami	10-38 <b>8¢078</b>	F(« = 0.05	i; 3,207)	= 1.94	

 $(\alpha = 0.05)$ 

14WORK/J2

400288-29, metalochlor, daphnid survival

File: a:400288.29 Transform: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y))

Shapiro Wilks test for normality Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis.

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

### ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between Within (Error)	6 20	0.392 0.413	0.065 0.021	3.160
Total	26	0.805		

Critical F value = 2.60 (0.05,6,20) Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

	BONFERRONI T-TEST -	TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho: Control <treatmen< th=""></treatmen<>		
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1	dilution contrl	1.254	0.900		
2	solvent contrl	1.123	0.800	1.294	
3	0.088	1.178	0.850	0.750	
4	0.177	1.149	0.825	1.035	
5	0.354	1.219	0.875	0.349	
6	0.707	1.068	0.767	1.693	
7	1.414	0.867	0.575	3.811	*

Bonferroni T table value = 2.61 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=20,6)

	BONFERRONI T-TEST -	TABLE	2 OF 2	Ho:Contr	ol <treatment< th=""></treatment<>
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	dilution contrl	4			
2	solvent contrl	4	0.205	22.8	0.100
3	0.088	4	0.205	22.8	0.050
4	0.177	4	0.205	22.8	0.075
5	0.354	4	0.205	22.8	0.025
6	0.707	3	0.225	25.0	0.133
7	1.414	4	0.205	22.8	0.325

 ${\tt 400288\hbox{-}29,\ metalochlor,\ daphnid\ reproduction}$ 

File: a:40028829.dt2

Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Shapiro Wilks test for normality

Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis.

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

t-test of Solvent and Blank Co	ntrols Ho:GRP1 MEAN = GRP2 MEAN
GRP1 (SOLVENT CRTL) MEAN = 5.181 GRP2 (BLANK CRTL) MEAN = 3.521 DIFFERENCE IN MEANS = 1.660	DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 6
TABLE t VALUE (0.05 (2), 6) = 2.447*	* SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE at alpha=0.05

TABLE t VALUE (0.05 (2), 6) = 2.447\*\* SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE at alpha=0.05
TABLE t VALUE (0.01 (2), 6) = 3.707\*\* SIGNIFICANT DIFFERENCE at alpha=0.01

#### ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between Within (Error)	6 20	9.958 10.966	1.660 0.548	3.027
Total	26	20.924	+	

Critical F value = 2.60 (0.05,6,20)

Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho:All groups equal

	BONFERRONI T-TEST -	TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho:Contro	1 <treatm< th=""><th>ent</th></treatm<>	ent
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	TRANSFORMED MEAN	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1	dilution contrl	5.181	5.181		
2	solvent contrl	3,521	3.521	3.171	*
3	0.088	4.924	4.924	0.491	
4	0.177	4.747	4.747	0.830	
5	0.354	5.063	5.063	0.227	
6	0.707	4.325	4.325	1.514	
7	1.414	3.801	3.801	2.636	*

Bonferroni T table value = 2.61 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=20,6)

	BONFERRONI T-TEST -	TABLE	2 OF 2	Ho:Contr	ol <treatment< th=""></treatment<>
GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	dilution contrl	4			
2	solvent contrl	4	1.368	26.4	1,660
3	0.088	4	1.368	26.4	0.257
4	0.177	4	1.368	26.4	0.434
5	0.354	4	1.368	26.4	0.119
6	0.707	3	1.478	28.5	0.856
7	1.414	4	1.368	26.4	1.380

400288-29, metalochlor, mean daphnid length

File: a:40028829.dt3 Transform: NO TRANSFORMATION

Shapiro Wilks test for normality Data PASS normality test at P=0.01 level. Continue analysis.

Bartletts test for homogeneity of variance Data PASS homogeneity test at 0.01 level. Continue analysis.

t-test of Solvent and Blank Contr	ols Ho:GRP1 MEAN = GRP2 MEAN
GRP1 (SOLVENT CRTL) MEAN = 4.4153 GRP2 (BLANK CRTL) MEAN = 4.3929 DIFFERENCE IN MEANS = 0.0224	CALCULATED t VALUE = 0.3402 DEGREES OF FREEDOM = 6
TABLE t VALUE (0.05 (2), 6) = 2.447	NO significant difference at alpha=0.05

TABLE t VALUE (0.01 (2), 6) = 3.707 NO significant difference at alpha=0.01

### ANOVA TABLE

SOURCE	DF	SS	MS	F
Between Within (Error)	6 20	0.472 0.337	0.079 0.017	4.673
Total	26	0.809		

Critical F value = 2.60 (0.05,6,20) Since F > Critical F REJECT Ho: All groups equal

BONFERRONI T-TEST	-	TABLE 1 OF 2	Ho; Control <treatment< th=""></treatment<>
		TOANCEODMED	MEAN CALCULATED IN

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	Transformed Mean	MEAN CALCULATED IN ORIGINAL UNITS	T STAT	SIG
1	dilution contrl	4.415	4,415		
2	solvent contrl	4.393	4.393	0.244	
3	0.088	4.255	4.255	1.745	
4	0.177	4.312	4.312	1,125	
5	0.354	4.358	4.358	0.625	
6	0.707	4.091	4.091	3.277	*
7	1.414	4.051	4.051	3.973	*

Bonferroni T table value = 2.61 (1 Tailed Value, P=0.05, df=20,6)

BONFERRONI T-TEST - TABLE 2 OF 2 Ho:Control<Treatment

GROUP	IDENTIFICATION	NUM OF REPS	Minimum Sig Diff (IN ORIG. UNITS)	% of CONTROL	DIFFERENCE FROM CONTROL
1	dilution contrl	4			
2	solvent contrl	4	0.240	5.4	0.022
3	0.088	4	0.240	5.4	0.160
4	0.177	4	0.240	5.4	0.103
5 .	0.354	4	0.240	5.4	0.057
6	0.707	3	0.259	5.9	0.325
7	1.414	4	0.240	5.4	0.365

TITLE: 400288-29, metalochlor, daphnid survival

FILE: a:400288.29

TRANSFORM: ARC SINE(SQUARE ROOT(Y)) NUMBER OF GROUPS: 7

GRP	IDENTIFICATION	REP	VALUE	TRANS VALUE
1	dilution contrl	1	1.0000	1.4120
1	dilution contrl	2	0.8000	1.1071
1	dilution contrl	3	0.9000	1.2490
1	dilution contrl	4	0.9000	1.2490
2	solvent contrl	1	0.8000	1.1071
2	solvent contrl	2	0.6000	0.8861
2	solvent contrl	3	0.9000	1.2490
2	solvent contrl	4	0.9000	1.2490
3	0.088	1	0.9000	1.2490
3	0.088	2	0.8000	1.1071
3	0.088	3	0.9000	1.2490
3	0.088	4	0.8000	1.1071
4	0.177	1	0.9000	1.2490
4	0.177	2	0.9000	1.2490
4	0.177	3	0.7000	0.9912
4	0.177	4	0.8000	1.1071
5	0.354	1	0.8000	1.1071
5	0.354	2	1.0000	1.4120
5	0.354	3	0.8000	1.1071
5	0.354	4	0.9000	1.2490
6	0.707	1	0.8000	1.1071
6	0.707	2	0.7000	0.9912
6	0.707	3	0.8000	1.1071
7	1.414	1	0.4000	0.6847
7	1.414	2	0.4000	0.6847
7	1.414	3	0.8000	1.1071
7	1.414	4	0.7000	0.9912

TITLE: 400288-29, metalochlor, daphnid reproduction

FILE: a:40028829.dt2

TRANSFORM: NO TRANSFORMATION NUMBER OF GROUPS: 7

GRP	IDENTIFICAT	TION RE	P VALUE	TRANS VALUE
1	dilution cor	itrl 1	5.5750	5.5750
1	dilution cor	itrl 2	4.6620	4.6620
1	dilution cor	ntrl 3	5.5470	5.5470
1	dilution cor	ntrl 4	4.9420	4.9420
2	solvent cor	ntrl 1	3.4640	3.4640
2	solvent cor	ntrl 2	4.3170	4.3170
2	solvent cor	ntrl 3	3.2690	3.2690
2	solvent cor	ntrl 4	3.0350	3.0350
3	0.	.088 1	3.8840	3.8840
3	0.	.088 2	4.9220	4.9220
3	0.	.088 3	5.7530	5.7530
3	0.	.088 4	5.1380	5.1380
4	0.	177 1	5.4540	5.4540
4	0.	177 2	4.6300	4.6300
4	0.	177 3	3.3970	3.3970
4	0.	177 4	5.5070	5.5070
5	0.	354 1	5.3670	5.3670
5	0.	354 2	5.6390	5.6390
5	0.	354 3	4.1340	4.1340
5	0.	354 4	5.1110	5.1110
6	0.	707 1	3,1330	3.1330
6	0.	707 2	5,6350	5.6350
6	0.	707 3	4.2080	4.2080
7	1.	414 1	3.4880	3.4880
7	1.	414 2	3.8260	3.8260
7	1.	414 3	3.7160	3.7160
7	1.	414 4	4.1750	4.1750

400288-29, metalochlor, mean daphnid length a: 40028829.dt3

TITLE: 400288-29, metalog FILE: a:40028829.dt3 TRANSFORM: NO TRANSFORMATION

NUMBER OF GROUPS: 7

GRP	IDENTIFICATI	ON REP	VALUE	TRANS VALUE
1	dilution cont	rl 1	4.4925	4.4925
1	dilution cont	rl 2	4.3688	4.3688
1	dilution cont	rl 3	4.4667	4.4667
1	dilution cont	rl 4	4.3333	4.3333
2	solvent cont	rl 1	4.5000	4.5000
2	solvent cont	r1 2	4.4550	4.4550
2	solvent cont	rl 3	4.3583	4.3583
2	solvent cont	rl 4	4.2583	4.2583
3	0.0	88 1	4.0750	4.0750
3	0.0	88 2	4.3594	4,3594
3	Ö.0	88 3	4.2833	4.2833
3	0.0	188 4	4.3031	4,3031
4	0.1	.77 1	4.3000	4.3000
4	0.1	.77 2	4.2833	4.2833
4	0.1	.77 3	4.2964	4.2964
4	0.1	.77 4	4.3688	4.3688
5	0.3	54 1	4.3725	4.3725
5	0.3	54 2	4.3500	4.3500
5	0.3	54 3	4.2844	4.2844
5	0.3	54 4	4.4250	4.4250
6	0.7	07 1	3.9844	3.9844
6	0.7	07 2	4.2000	. 4.2000
6	0.7	07 3	4.0875	4,0875
7	1.4		4.2300	4.2300
7	1.4		3.6750	3.6750
7	1.4	14 3	4.0875	4.0875
7	1.4	14 4	4.2107	4.2107